

Telecommunications & Broadband Services

THE STATUS OF 271 AND UNE-PLATFORM IN THE REGIONAL BELLS' TERRITORIES

Type of Report

INDUSTRY REPORT

Regulatory Update

Anna Maria Kovacs, Ph.D., CFA (617) 576-5764 akovacs/ayesinvest.com

Kristin L. Burns, Ph.D. (617) 576-5764 kburns(ayesinvest.com

Gregory S. Vitale (617) 576-5764 gvitale@yesinvest.com

August 22, 2002

- Since our May report, the FCC has granted 271s in four states: Georgia and Louisiana for BellSouth and Maine and New Jersey for Verizon. Applications for seventeen states' 271s are before the FCC now. By year-end we expect all of Verizon to be covered by 271s. We expect Qwest to have 271s in all but one or two states (Minnesota and Arizona being the ones we expect to lag). We expect BellSouth to have all its 271s except Florida. Finally, we expect SBC to add California late in 2002, but do not believe the Ameritech states will get their 271s until the first half of 2003.
- As part of the 271 process, UNE rates since May have been reduced in many states, most notably in the Qwest Region, but also in SBC and BellSouth states. We expect some more UNE reductions (Massachusetts, New Jersey and Pennsylvania are pending for Verizon, for example) but expect the pace to slow given how much UNE rates have decreased and given that the 271 process that drives some of the cuts is nearing its end
- For the CLECs, the lower UNE rates present the opportunity to enter the local market with minimal up-front investment. It is not clear, however, whether some of the more troubled companies, like WorldCom, will be able to take full advantage. We view UNEP as being positive for the IXCs, particularly AT&T, but do not believe that it is enough to stem the declining revenues and profitability of the consumer long-distance market.
- From the RBOC-investor's perspective, UNLP presents several problems. One is the reduction in revenues that comes from converting retail to wholesale revenues. The other is the pricing compression that comes from the RBOCs' own attempts to restructure their prices to compete with the new entrants. Finally, there is the exposure during a period when an RBOC cannot yet enter long-distance, but the IXCs have begun to enter its local market. Among the RBOCs, SBC is by far the most exposed. In California and in the Ameritech states, it has super-low UNEP prices and no ability to counter an IXC's entry with an all-distance plan. It is possible that Verizon will also see some meaningful share loss in the next few months, but we do not see the IXCs being as focused on it as they are on SBC, particularly in California.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

THE STATUS OF 271 AND UNE-PLATFORM IN THE REGIONAL E	BELLS' TERRITORIES
APPENDIX' SUMMARY OF CHANGES TO UNEP REPORT, MAY	то Аυσυѕт, 2002
SUMMARY OF RBOC CHANGES	
COMPANIES MENTIONED IN THIS REPORT	
INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
1: UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENT RATE COMPARISON M.	ATRIX SUMMARY - ALI RBOCS 10
IA: Unbundled Network Element Rate Comparison Ma	TRIX SUMMARY - ALL RBOCS . 11
2: Unbundled Network Element Rate Comparison Ma	TRIX SUMMARY - BELLSOUTH 12
2A: Unbundled Network Element Rate Comparison Ma	TRIX SUMMARY - BELLSOUTH 13
3: UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENT RATE COMPARISON MA	ATRIX SUMMARY - QWEST 14
3A: Unbundled Network Element Rate Comparison Ma	TRIX SUMMARY - QWEST 15
4: UNBUNDLED NETWORK LLEMENT RATE COMPARISON MA	TRIN SUMMARY + SBC

5: Unbundled Network Element Rate Comparison Matrix Summary - Verizon...... 18

5A: Unbundled Network Element Rate Comparison Matrix Summary - Verizon, 19

The intermation contained herein has been obtained from The information contained person has been soluble with solubles neglected to no reliability but we do not warrain its contractness or accretion. And prices reported and estimates confected reflections (adjoined and are subject to change at any the without notice. Ent-occument is not an offer or secondation for the purentse or sale of any securities or financial. moreovers, and it does not constitute investment, legal or tax and the Securities and financial instruments mentioned never man not be suitable for all investors. Investors thus make their somewhere their professional 27 sors in light of electropeorfic circumstances. The variet of investments may fluctuate. Information about past performance. of commence mentional contentation among these personance of an investment is not necessarily a guide to indicative of or a stance of foture personalists. Securities and financial or numeris mentioned nettern has not be qualified for sale in a states. Commerce cupical Markets. Inc. or one of its there directors attituates or employees may have positions or transaction, in securities or financial instruments in smoothed nervin (of options with respect thereto) and may also as as underwriter placen onlyagent advisor or lender to an issued mentioned nersin. Additional information relative to the surrects discussed is available or our offices. Commerce to that Markets inc. is a wholly owned non-hank sursidiary owned. No 15 a wholly owned owned hank NA [Section of the NA [Section of the NA [Section of the NA [Sec so starts of Commerce Rancorn. Inc. a multi-bank holding som pany located in Cherry Hill. Ni

To TC ommerce Capital Markets, Inc., Philadelphia, PA



Outperform market near-term and long-term by over 10%

Market Outperform Outperform market long-term by over 10%

Market Perform

Perform in-line with market (+ or - 5%)

Market Underperform Underperform market by over 10%



THE STATES OF 271 AND UNE-PLATFORM IN THE REST TERRITORIES

Over three months have passed since we last published our report "The Status of 271 and UNE-Platform in the Regional Bells' Territories". Given the recent flood of 271 filings with the FCC and the concomitant changes to UNE-Platform (UNEP) rates made by individual state commissions, we thought it timely to provide an update.

- The flood of applications for in-Region long distance entry under section 271 of the Telecom Act (271) is reaching its crest. Fourteen 271s have been granted to the Regional Bells (RBOCs) so far, and the FCC has applications for seventeen more before it right now: Alabama, Kentucky, Mississippi, North Carolina and South Carolina for BellSouth; Colorado, Idaho, Iowa, Nebraska, North Dakota, Montana, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming for Qwest; New Hampshire, Delaware, and Virginia for Verizon.
- By year-end 2002, we expect 271s to cover all BellSouth states except Florida, all Qwest states except Minnesota and possibly Arizona, and all Verizon states. SBC has a good chance of having California granted by year-end, and a slight chance of having Michigan granted as well, with the rest of the Ameritech states likely to slip into the first half of 2003.
- As the RBOCs have prepared to submit their 271s, they and their state commissions have made changes to their unbundled network element (UNE) prices. While commissions do occasionally change UNE prices independently of the 271 process—as New York did earlier this year and as Massachusetts, New Jersey, Texas and Pennsylvania are doing now—most changes have been made as part of the 271 process. Thus, both because UNE rates have been lowered sharply in most states over the last year and because the 271 process is ending, we expect a slower rate of change to UNE prices over the next year or two than we have seen in the last few months.
- The actual implementation of UNEP accelerated in the last few months, as competitive carriers (CLECs) have focused more on this market. WorldCom's MCI division, in partnership with Z-Tel launched its Neighborhood Plan in April. AT&T has added local UNEP-based service in six states to its original two since March of 2002 and will probably add another two states this year. In early 2002, AT&T was offering UNEP-based local service only in New York and Texas. Since March, it has added Michigan, Georgia, Illinois, Ohio, California, and New Jersey. It has indicated that it will also enter Pennsylvania and Massachusetts this year. We expect it to push hard in California, where it will fight hardest to protect its long-distance market. WorldCom's MCI division introduced its Neighborhood plan in April and appeared ready to pursue entry in at least the urban zones throughout most of the country. Entry by these long-distance carriers (IXCs) has been partly in response to potential entry by the RBOCs into the long distance market in a given state and partly in response to lower UNE prices. Given the financial problems at WorldCom and the changes in AT&T's structure and management as it merges its Broadband

unit with Comeast it is somewhat difficult to predict how hard they will push UNEP. We expect some backing off on WorldCom's part, and a harder push in a small number of states on AT&T's.

- At least in theory, the greatest exposure to changes in UNE prices is to SBC. AT&T just began deploying UNEP in California, where SBC will not be able to respond on the long-distance side till around year-end 2002, at best. AT&T is also in Michigan. Illinois, and Ohio, where it is unlikely that SBC will be able to respond on the long-distance side till sometime in the first half of 2003. As we indicate below, UNEP discounts are greatest overall in the SBC Region. BellSouth is seeing UNEP-based entry primarily in Georgia and Florida, but AT&T has not yet entered Florida. Florida is the only state in which we do not expect BellSouth to have a 271 till late first quarter 2003. Qwest's rates have recently dropped in a number of states, so that the Regional average UNEP rate has dropped from \$28.21 to \$23.97. However, we do not believe that entry into Owest's territory is a high priority for the IXCs at any price. Verizon's rate at \$20,23 is the second lowest on a Regional basis, but that rate is relatively stable vs. May of 2002. It is also worth noting that Verizon has not lost much market share since rates in New York were lowered in January. AT&T has indicated that it will enter Pennsylvania and Massachusetts this year, but neither the timing nor the level of effort in those states is clear to us.
- The Supreme Court has affirmed the FCC's right to designate TELRIC (Total Element Long Run Incremental Cost) as the methodology by which UNE prices are set. More broadly, in its May 2002 Verizon Communications v. FCC decision, the Supreme Court appeared to affirm the FCC's right to designate any method other than rate-of-return, which is specifically precluded by the Telecom Act, for the purpose of setting UNE prices.
- The long-term survival of UNEP is, nevertheless, in question. In its May 2002 Verizon decision, the Supreme Court reaffirmed the "necessary and impair" standard, which it had already highlighted in its January 1999 Iowa Utilities Board v. FCC decision. On May 24th, in its USTA v. FCC decision, the D.C. Circuit of Appeals remanded to the FCC the 1999 UNE order in which the FCC attempted to refine the list of required UNEs in accordance with the Supreme Court's "necessary and impair" standard. The D.C. Circuit also vacated the FCC's line-sharing order. The FCC has appealed back to the full D.C. Circuit some aspects of the court's decision.
- All of these judicial decisions will have an impact on the triennial review which was initiated by the FCC in December of 2001 to decide which UNEs still meet the "necessary and impair" test. The triennial review was expected to conclude this year. If the D.C. Circuit does accept the FCC's appeal, we believe it is unlikely that the FCC will issue an order in the triennial review till after the court rules, most likely some time next spring. Aside from delaying the conclusion, the various court decisions are likely to drive the FCC toward a more granular analysis than it had done in the past. That was the bent of the current FCC anyway, but the D.C. decision reinforces it. For example, we would not be surprised to see switching removed as an element in some

markets fairly quickly and in others over some longer transition period. Other elements also might be removed over time in some geographic and customer markets. If the FCC decides to take granularity down to the wire-center level, it may leave actual implementation in the hands of the states, but with fairly tight rules to guide that implementation. In the context of UNEP, what is significant about the removal of an individual element is that it makes it necessary for the CLEC to do some work to reassemble the line when it inserts its own equipment. That will make it more difficult to move large numbers of customers rapidly. Thus, the timing and outcome of the triennial review is very important both to the CLECs-IXCs who use UNEP and to the RBOCs who are wholesaling lines to those CLECs-IXCs at deep discounts

- The actual financial impact of UNEP on either the RBOCs or their competitors is, of course, what investors care about. Unfortunately, it is difficult to quantify because it depends so much on the companies' strategies. The more CLECs are able to cream-skim in a given market, the better their own margins and the greater the damage to the RBOC. The CLECs' ability to cream-skim, in turn, depends not only on the CLECs' own strategies, but on the RBOCs' win-back efforts, which often include the introduction of new pricing plans and the RBOCs' ability to offer all-distance plans. Thus, damage to the RBOCs' financials comes not only from the conversion of retail revenues to wholesale revenues, but from a broader repricing in response to competition. The offset from long distance appears to be fairly minor, at this point. Although ultimately all-distance customers may be "stickier" than those who use only one service, initially both sides are likely to spend more on marketing to fight churn than they did before.
- Our May 1, 2002 report included one effort at such an analysis. It found that UNEP creates a discount of about 19% to 42% below retail residential revenue. Using the same retail rates, those discounts would now range from 24% to 50%. Another way to look at the issue is to use the FCC's rate reference book, which relies, in turn, on TNS bill-harvesting data. According to this data, average residential spending per household on local service is \$426 per year and on long-distance \$176 per year. Assuming 1.2 lines per household, that would equate to about \$30 per line in local revenue plus about \$4 per line in access charges for a total revenue per line of about \$33-\$34. That figure falls within the range of \$30-\$34 for retail consumer revenue that we had estimated in May, although both calculations present potential problems. For the TNS data, specifically, it is not clear whether taxes and Universal Service Fund contributions which an RBOC would simply pass through to the government are included in the revenue. With that caveat, we are using \$33.50 as a national average residential rate. That leads to UNEP discounts on a Region-wide basis of 27% in BellSouth, 28% in Qwest, 48% in SBC, and 40% in Verizon. The TNS numbers also indicate that the RBOC would need to gain more than three long-distance customers to make up for the revenues from any local customer it loses (\$474 of local plus access revenue vs. \$128 of longdistance revenue net of access). And—given the different margin structures of the industries—it needs more than that to make up for the lost cash flow. Of course, to the extent that an IXC can capture small business customers whose



 \widetilde{i}

. ;

retail spending is higher than that of consumers, the damage to the RBOC is greater. For some time, at least, while the industry restructures itself into an "all distance" market, the UNEP vs. 271 game is likely to be "negative-sum," with both the RBOCs' and IXCs' profits hurt by lower revenue and higher marketing costs.

APPENDIX

Same appropriate (NPR) of MN - 3 cms, 2 2

Changes in methodology and corrections of errors:

- We changed our MOU (minutes of use) assumption from 1200 to 1411, to account for toll minutes, based on footnote 252 of the FCC's Pennsylvania order.
- For the columns that calculate full UNEP based on DEM (dial-equipment minutes), there is no change. Thus, for comparison, we are showing full UNEP based on DEM for both May and August in our tables.
- We corrected an error in the formula that calculated amortized non-recurring charges for Verizon's MA, NH, NY, DE, PA. For NV, KS, MO, OK and TX, we now have some non-recurring charges that we did not have in our last iteration. For Maryland, we are no longer using the compliance rates that we used in May. Statewide loop rate averages changed in several BellSouth, Qwest and Verizon states, though the actual rates did not, based on new estimates of the distributions of lines per zone: KY, LA, MS, SC, NM, ME, RI, PA.
- Once we assemble our data, we ask all the relevant state commissions. RBOCs and the two major IXCs to comment on its accuracy. We received specific feedback on the accuracy of our tables from all the RBOCs and many states.

Same and the property

- UNE prices continue to trend down.
- For all RBOCs the full UNEP average (assuming DEM) dropped by 10% from that which we reported in May.
- On a national basis, full UNEP average (assuming DEM) now stands at \$20.28 vs. the \$22.58 average we reported in May.
- The range is a high of \$24.38 for BellSouth and a low of \$17.50 for SBC, within the range we predicted in our May report.
- SBC experienced a roughly 20% decline (with an even sharper decline in California) and Qwest experienced a roughly 15% decline in full UNEP (DEM) average since our May report.
- The RBOC-wide total switching and transport average dropped 21%, from the \$8.34 we reported in May to \$6.59 in August.
- Several states' full UNEP (DEM) price appear to increase or actually increased from that which we reported in May. In some cases, as noted above, we changed the non-recurring formula. In some cases we changed the distribution

or loops among zones, thus changing the average. In a ten cases, rates actually tose, in ΔL , FL, LA, MS and SC, there is now a cross-connect charge that is part of the non-recurring charges that we amortize. In Oregon, the port rate increased slightly,

- Anna Maria Koyacs, Ph.D., CFA — Kristin L. Burns, Ph.D. Gregory, S., Vitale

Companies Mexiconed In This Report

Company Name	Symbol	<u>Price</u>
BellSouth	BLS	\$25.44
SBC	SBC	\$27,89
Qwest	Q	\$2.82
Verizon	VZ	\$31.18
AT&I	T	\$11.79
WorldCom's MCI	WCOŁQ	\$0.12
Z-Tel	ZTEL	\$1.44
Comcast	CMCSK	\$22,99
Dow Jones Industrial	DJIA	8,887.87
S&P 500 Stock Index	SPX	941.06

SHIVSHIME	HBRIDVIDRE	OSDEVALINE ORDER CAR	(promod) MANAO TES	14) (111 O 111)	(14310-tar 45d)	montp)	######################################	SAND	**0001×4×1 **+13.33	ı	11 <u>281216 </u>
l/ 4 (1 vamues / valuaim	in 46f rammer é rotunism	itttannersé solunim gaitsnigito	14 (1) ramerr/ estunim	1141 samuzez. estuntai gaitea(gita	ld (tt zomuez). zstraim	iltl sainved. Szivnim gailsnigten	_				
	STVIOT				LOTHUS		SELINI VAN				321/86 83
82.552	85.052	16 075	99.05	79.15	65.82	85.77	\$0.15	%001	840'SF1	Haill	ake zii khoes
ក ដើមជន	S III Stres	Ω 85 τ č \$	S 191	5 18 5 18	ስ / 6 ካኝ	Z 5	09 24 3			DONN	
18613	05 / 1 3	01.813	81.05	61.05	16.53	26.88	10 15			M D	
7.5)HS	JHS	71	/1	.348	S DI	HIN		-	ниос	,
90.923	Bt FZS	†6 77 5	1075	19.15	56.95	76'55	76.515	7441	75U'#7		317, abi mantaa H abut
40 817	.8 6.3	Ft 6c3		19.5	((85	80.45				dgill	
IV	SIV	SIN	81.12	14	11.53	.54	545			21 <u>812</u>	
N4 06.0c\$	88 012 A 1	17. 11.0.18	81-15 81-15	A.N. 66.08	N I	N I 01 F1	\$ -> 5 > c1\$			A B J	
12.825	46175	85 775	u+ u\$	11-01	16 95	55125	04.412	%(1	196,71		2 cf. abi wnoi23H.)
86.615	F9 T1 \$	56.51\$	07-02	57.0\$	S (0 (S	27.715	Xn sis			43411	
IW	138	LW	NO.	Sta	CIS	us	LIX			ijėj <u>s</u>	
11.05	FS-11-5	59.0(\$	NT 03	81.62	****	¥15¥	11.15			MO I	
NO -	1 1	1.1	71	7.1	16.27	70.07	10 115	78 (1)	87 1,82	31817	gr. Ablæguspå
75 UES 75 UES	19.013	01 815		06.5	1.015	ti ili	(, 11,)	401	40. A CHI	49.11	
VN	N/4	7.1	1.1/	1 W	1 1/	٧٠.	٧.٠			31FIC	
UNIFES	50 - 15	0 c c (\$, 0.0 %	2.00 (0.5)	fers	SWES	10.75			*** 1	
HX	NI NI	NI NI	VI.	NI.		<u></u>	110		*****	*****	WHITTIN DAY
21 915	14515	BZ 955	85.07	\$9.05	F6 55	7F 95	17.65	*-8t	118,11	=	ZZU KITLEH BE
181ch	58 X 5	170	'X 'Z	1.00 < 2	17A EL 015	1.0	06.015			4311	
1 K	1 g.	αε 7 (\$ Τਔ	เยอร์ ไห้	10 03 138	17 G 176	5 N 1 S	tu s Lu			2161 <u>6</u> #01	
UN	NI	N1	81	NI	241	NI.	00			.1412	
15 225	LL 515	L1 413	KO 65	80.02	15 SS	06.95	51 015	*-11	100'61		в испления
PN 014	19/01/5	T# 115	80.07	X0 05	.0015	telly	C 9 11 C 9			#aill	
ΔN	ΔN	AN	an 'vo	$\Delta N^{+}V.I$	ΔN	48	15			a i e j	
LP (c)	96513	97 911	80.07	80.03	7.7 77.55	tt m	EL LS			*****	
FS 175	£9175	V)	70:15 AN V.)	01 15 VN V)	67.95	V 1	N 115	7+01	967'-1	arers are som	, 8(T.H. #.2
HR P. S	10 16	15.54	0) ()	/1. 15	(0.83	28.82	.7 -18			. अ.स.म	
No	30	30	40	An	MO	80	An			31417	
61 61Y	09.615	\$ 1.0c\$	64.05	t v 0\$	91.55	57.3	60.3 13			₩o t	
SH	Y Y	HV	ON	ON	K C	18 7 2	нv			21412	S. zanisali gr
10.612	17 071	ZW:125	K1.07	61.02	21.72	69.85	16 215	% Dt	11,927		A (A 36) #00(\$28 PC
A M 20 FES	(0 Ft)		99.05	69 D 2	.5.25 (+615)	58 0 C Z	1 11 8 5 F (\$			4341	
Pisti	11 + (\$ N	5171\$ AM	00 o\$ 3Cl	90 05 7Å	5 (5 S) - 5 Ab	17 95 .1 B	() () () () () () () () () () () () ()			MO I	
151	EN	1N	.00	50	Y d	14	1.54			21617	
91 075	2A 012	W1 125	71:05	11.03	28.42	ELBA	26 215	7-17	781.91		AKINAN ARI
11 665	4P 14 \$	80 KC\$	At us	91.03	25.015	16 c15	0.845			datti	
IIN	VIX	VIV	14.4	HN	ix	VK	HN			31615	
51 / IS	73.715	867(\$) \ (a. (0.0\$	rn 95	5N 65.53	11.02	1-1 - 15			AL 0	
61 613 AN	15 075	11 775 AN	\$0.55 BL7.5	#7 U\$ 1 \ 1 H	58743 AN	11.65	\$15.02	%15	611.7	alog aous arans	HILL VIL FREEERS
co try	(0.113)	sts	99.03	69.0\$	c1 6 3	28.025	X > T < S			Maili Marili	
5.M	1 1/1	1 11	10	н	1.11	A.M	1.11			2151	
PENIN	P1 +15	S1.71 5	40.00	00 05	57.51	67 47	CS 05			40	
1.3	17.	17	.10	×	Va	Va	1.			31412	

HKO/ Reponside Augere		Coop RALL	PORCHALIP	local copuador SWILCHING (per MOL)	Local terminating AWHEHING spec MOL,	OTHER SWITCHING AND TRANSPORT (per MOLE	M F (per month)	DUF tpes	Other Switching and Transport (per moath)	Other Switching and Transport (per munik)	FFAITHF COSE(per month)	AMORITED NRC (per month)	(OTAL DENG (per bar per month)
	L		;		į		Arrum ex † 411 ottgensting minuter	Assumes DFM minutes	Artumer [11] ariginating munuter	Vecames DFM			
Average, All RROCS	1			KEY INPLIS					200	3 4 4 1 3 4			
	Het	70.11	\$2.02	\$# 001 66 t	245 lbd b3	\$4.000674	\$1 U\$	EF (1)	\$6.08	50.82	2 5		
	KROC	2 3	2 :	CO 190 7 18.8	001.0003	\$0.00103e	51.13	\$1 KG	11 12	11 13		1 1	2,171
	: <u>:</u>	> (> .	5.	7 =	RI S	· 2•	: 0	7 2	200	* .
;	RBOK	ž	<u>.</u>	0:11000	5 0 (0.11 50	C1 > 000 to 03	\$43 BG	1 0 0 1	F 0.73	, 95 eg	, ş.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Bellsouth Regions ide 1vg	•	114.42	15 13	13118118	SIR4	× =	/./	7.7	81.8	//			
	H.	11.13	. E.O	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	215000013	VI 16	51.8h	\$4.73	16 03	19113	20.02	891.
	State	N N	, ,	**************************************	741 OH 344 K	\$4.0007KT	¥ .7	10.5	a+ 13	S1 15	9, 1	03	1 (7)
	<u>{</u>	\$3 E13	¥1 3	THE HART INT	10,000,03	F F 40 000:10F	- 3	= ;	Ž,	¥.	Ξ	ž	.
Uncet Regionwide Ave	Minte	C. A	2	7	7	VI VI	¥ 2	<u> </u>	5 0 9 8	Sto Rto	\$61 FB	\$0 0°	2.754
		\$16.68	17 17	\$4 001731	\$4 001731	\$0.001036	CO YO	\$4 28	N 146		ALTEX NATIVE	**	MS
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Ko 1. 4	7	MI DATE6.9	\$0.00 t469	108 100 03	21 15	\$0 to	F1 R2	75 15	01 04	21 53	1.229
	<u>:</u>	- T - 13	* 3	9 5	÷,	W.	MN NU OR	2	2 7	2	f <u>-</u>	41 0 4	7.017
	State	-			\$0 100000	1, 2909	\$10.51	t a on	ر ۱۵ و د	\$ 11. X 3	10 ou		Ç,
All Regions de Ave		10.113	67.73	CIF (184) 154)	\$10,000	Se GHP746	1 63	=	MV	Σ. 2	COLVIDATA	MN	5
	f.	150.5	\$ 1	a. * 100 H.\$	651,00 H	\$10 mostage		71 11)	S0.81	\$4.29	\$4.23	1.974
		<u> </u>	, si	₹:	Ş	22	14. KYOK 13	14	7 i i	ن ن	\$11.40 \$11.40		1.84
	! <u>!</u>	1	4: RX	\$4 manan	\$1.00-1130H	\$ G 1H16 7U9	th up	2 IR	, ,	ا ا د ک	جا ج م	×.	A.K.
VMFRINGHRUD		17 63	- 3	۷ =	± =	<u> </u>	LANKMO	ONTACE	· -			10 1	1 361
	# ====================================	(b = 1 - 5		The man 149	71 000 T 46	50 000310	50.27	\$0.20	1113		\$0.00	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1
	State	- 15	=	, E = 3	9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	50 001 117	- 5	\$6.27	.F 13	2 13	(1)	1.43	1.047
	<u>.</u>	£1 (0)	-	1 statistica 1-\$	Sel Grandige	1.35	- S	Ξ,	1.8	J. N	r.	- 3	10
Carlotte and the second	, Ç31	HO	III	<u>.</u>	<u>2</u> =	Z	: -	<u> </u>	50.77	\$3 C\$	ē <u>a</u>	la ol	1 36.1
		51.015	16 90	5.00 0.00 5.0.2	S0 001 182	\$4 0010 84	(d b)	20 40	97 13	- N-	7 4	2	=
	200	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	17.7	Memor Joseph	\$100.0010	\$0000 et	e :	6.0	1763	.> \$3	9 63	\$0 40K	1 K.
	£ :	22.4		10 TO		77	-	0 =	24	NC	,,	. N. K.	
	e ne	1	11			112000104	- 4	É	ži 13	St. 43	\$10 ca	Ko 03	1 786
W. Keppe	5 / E	1113	\$2 tix	CH 1005 KM	KR9100 03	\$11.000.05	VB 89	18 03	V)	۷,	>2	1 N V .	> 2
	F 24 1		, •	Library 1.11	55. CH 113	\$0.000.0EV	\$1 01.		£13.6.0	77 03	VII 00	10 21	2.217
	<u> </u>	46	<u> </u>	ŧ	ź		18,83,08,13	1.8	ź	÷ ;		T 54	£ .
	State	11	7 4 2	400 100 104	5	765	40.00	1 0 00	\$6.42	* 3		81 03	× .
Serven Regionarde vyg		\$1293	1. 1.	\$1,0025.5	KI 067 199	1 X	GP.	VE	XI	<i>¥</i>	. e	: -	· .
	4	#: :. 5		CO 008408	So the a.	Channel Story	vn av	\$0 B\$	\$0.74	\$0.46	\$40 20	\$1 03	1.871
	<u> </u>	ź	2	N.Y.		VN	1 = 2	× = 4	4,17	\$1.65	51 tr.	10 0	1.77
		; ;	l, 9)	V-1 (24 (3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Month	\$0 BORTES	ato ag	10 DE	ζ F 2	<u> </u>	Ĵ.	Ξ	× ×
TV Rotton VIVA	1	11.05	114		7	1.1	NY, Dr	NY DR	VA.	1 7			1 21 7
	∥ Ē	1-11 av		7) William W.	Co co	\$10 000B75	1001	SH 113	17.13	\$6.03	1.3	VI 1.3	1.968
	State	= 7	2		10000000	97.198.00	I :	5t 9 5	41.17	: 7 13	11 03) ti 15	P00.
	- -	et 11 5	1, 14	_B i literay	11100-3	81.5000 4. 3	- 9 - 3	= 5	۲ × ۶ ,	43	Ξ	42	-
RILL ALL AND REGION AND	ا پوټر		114	3.2	Ý	N.	2	. X		20.5	200 F √	F- 481	1.870
	1	5,714	\$1.13	\$0.041.11	Se mitsel	\$6 (H)#25.1	C0 03	\$4.06	91 03	\$10.27	17.5	12 12 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13	× × ;
	1		· Ξ	Victorial Report	Control of the Contro	Su nigasis	\$1.03	\$0 H3	to un	10.01	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	20 12	71 11
	<u> </u>	2	5	Ca ang Rei	1 N 1 N 1 N 1 N 1 N 1 N 1 N 1 N 1 N 1 N	, to object 1	2. * (G)		<u>×</u>	7 8	QW.	= =	` <i>></i>
	Make	7	. 2	1	<u>:</u>	7.7	ž	51 p.	F 04	3 0 5	1 60 000	144.44	

Source: Company Financial reports, and regulatory filings including tariffs, interconnection ogreenwins and ARMS reports, CCM estimates

P + 1 B M + M

R 40 comment

PARTAMENT

**Iunim

M4G ramprey

entenim gnitanigiin

Hitlesman

THRUING

ATVIS

OBIGINATING VAD OBGINATING VAD	ारक) श्र4ापरा । 11४ ाक्का श्र4		101AL 101AL 101AL 101AL	1941 417 8 4(1() I	IV 101 Je %	554) 1)	A HSN 10	
	111-105/14/1	Tarray is visitly	Ç., OBJAN (B. C)		!		:	1 ! !!!!

լկյանա

SANITSSEED FROM SANIT SANOZ

entualm gaite nigito

Ilki samuset

(4) Horse

	į			11/915							
	1 455	\$ C 4 C	• 11	81 (15		14.48	16	15			
11,1100		7.7.	* 11	5. \$15	0), 1)	11.55	70.15	58.15	at oly	RN DCS	06.0 (\$
	ı			NA 965							
				9. 3 13							
anilota) eta	4.0	5. T.	4,9	15.215	51.95	(N 9 5	xe is	9 . 18	rt 1.5	Ro TGS	\$6.9 4 5
				11 913							
				50.648							
	1			11 UIS							
sailary ddys	610	1,7.	. 91	8.015	8: 95	F9 / \$	01.13	18.13	90 665	60.5 (\$	onacs
	t			*** 1 1 5		11. 27.12.1					
	1			TU - 5							
				16 - 15							
	•			86.015							
iqquesieei	JAN	201		الإيران ا	06.55	4. 42	79.3	81.13	r1 6.3	(8.0)	10.655
				a _c xrs							
				01 (53)							
	1			(i 18							
KRLINIE			×,01	t. 913	19.47	1 K / Y	SD 3	9.013	163.5	11305	71.50
	· ·		•	65.015							
				(2.715							
(พุงกาน	av.	ait	4.5	59.6 \$ 9c7.1 \$		15.95	66.95	6 L 13	9ma c \$	80 Sc\$	
(420)0.				18 6 13	idsy		66.93	DL 13	77 (.3	80.753	91.1.3
	ž.			LP c15							
	ì			08 015							
*11.3m	8.7	516 \$	4.21	55.15	(445	21 85	(()5	18.68	ta ues	ix t. i	1814
	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		07.015			1,		W 117		
				68 5 15							
	Ī.			LL 113							
sbi to	Asy	#15 Y	oi €	55.51\$	70.92	SS 9\$	19 5\$	1115	ichd.	10.50\$	¥1 96\$
	1			59115			···				
	Ü			\$0.0c\$							
	1			55 315							
e m s q n	day	ito.	4.8	99.915	55.1%	9t >\$	20.15	15 13	81 (15	55 Lc3	60.913
				LUNION		OTBAS	SIVI			STYTOL	

37.341 somussy

(upaom

estunien gaitenigero

Hill sammer

+d1nnm

ratunian

Petti zamueez

Source Company Financial reports and regulator things in the interconnection agreements and ARMIS reports, CCMI estimates

_	
-	-
-	_
-	_
	_
1	-
_	
_	
_	_
~	~
_	_
	٠
-	-
-	_
-	_
-	-
-	
-	
7	-
-	•
-	-
-	_
_	-
-	•
-	-
-	
-:	
7	
_	-
-	
-	
_	
_	
=	
= :	
) = ½	
U II.	Ì
U = 7 :	
0 112 11 - 1,	
0 112 11 1 1 1 1	
0 112 1 1 1 1	
) H2.H = 4.H	
111.1 1.11	
0 H2.H - 4.H 2	

NA11.	4 OOF RAAF (per memb)	400FRAH (ner PuRLBALE (per menth)	TOTAL ORIGINATING SWITCHES (per MOL)	LOUAL DEMINATING NATH BING (pg. MOU)	OTHER SWITTING, AND HRANNFORT (per MOL)	Ot I they mands	Dt I sperumenth)	Other Switching and I vansport iper month	Other Switching and Transport (p.e. meaths	PEARLES COSE	AMORETZED NRC (per month)	(Of AF DPM (per line, ger month)
						Assumes 1315 augustung minitis	Accumes DP M	Avening (1411) priginating	Arenmee DE M			
Mahatera			ALVINE IN					3 4 10 2 2				
	: ;	-	Section 10.5	Volume are	V0 00H14018	1001	H: 13	\$10.58	CO NO			1
	Tanc)										<u> </u>	= -
	\$1165											
+ harida	63.55	21.5	4 F. COLD (1)	CR 1000 Yes	7 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1							
-						2	5.	<u>.</u>	ές. X	3	K0 1.3	0.40
	2 E S											<u> </u>
Geurgia	3173											
	S 10 K0	6. 14	\$0.000.03	co only or	\$0.0005559	\$166	uto	\$4.79	1113	Set the		
	0.43										- - - -	7.5
	STORT											
Acnusti	31.713	4118	CHARLES.	Control to 3								
	19:17		•		78 non 581	·	7. 5	= 5	41.16	Collin	50.00	44.1
	a H5											
	\$ 101 St.											
***************************************	F. 415	4 -	Kernerran	An initian in	201 HH 163							
	:						· - -	ra k <i>t</i>		\$11.0H	£ 1	1 953
	9 3 X											
Mississippi												
:	KI BILY			CB 60 [c)	5 1 S (0) 1 1 C	ζ EII 3 ,	\$103	05	Sn R I		21.13	2
	(4) 01											
	1845											
Anth Carolina												
	· ·	<i>.</i>	Corner From	Mile Date Ity	\$0 mpse	KH 117	(0.17)	6, 63	So v.1	You is		
	(Bally											
	\$ 10.11											
South Carolina	1445	19.13	Creaters	ta onto	Constitution							
	÷ = ;					=	= =	: 3	G 25	•	\$1.03	3.054
	8 57 S											
	1 7 5	F 5	C-I DBWKHI	Lakson oy	Co-mada?	X0 (I)	\$1.44	50.81	\$0.8.¢	Kurio		
	11 417									i L	:H II	<u>.</u>
	23.123											
Current		A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR										

Source Company Financoal reports and regulatory things including turiffs, interconnection agreements and ARMS reports, CCM estimates

	1111	DENSITA	NATULINA NATULINA SATULINA SAT	4 8441188433		101A1 VW LCHIAG VW LCHIAGANO AND RALL RANNEORL (per TRANKFORT (per mande)	101M VW II CRING. D AND O IRANSFORT (per VII O month! (per VII O	VII CHIER VII	(thurse rad)	PITTINET ORBITAL AND THEMS ALING	HELL CREP ORIGINATING AND CERNIN VEING	MAY 2862 FULL UNF PORTGUNATING AND
						Arrumes (41)	Actumee D M minuter	Assumes 1314 oxiginating minutes	Accomer DEM	Actumen 64.F	Assumes DEM minutes	
The color The	Arizona				11451717		1018-18	ALS				
		- c. ~.			\$ = ## \$ > 5 5	\$0 a1\$	4.8 RO	ሩ ስ የአ	11 (1)	1, a, t	20 T.	\$15.63
		<u> </u>	U.	18.	114 82 11 12 12 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13	ζε Κ .?	* 1 9 A	1 U 14	¥0.40	1111	X	61.00
	- q e p	# - c	××	ļ.	(1 × 8) (1 × 8) (1 × 10) (1 0 9)	5 6 01	\$c 11.	e2 e2	7 F (1)	V 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	- M 12-3	11313
	x 3 5 6	A = 1 ×	¥ 4	15 E.	813.11 813.11 813.64 82.23	17.5	K6.87	لابا ا ا	KI IX	(t K)	¥* 1.3	08 8.3
1	4 Tay 2 E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E			È ,	2	\$7.1R	\$4 XII	(ñ 15	£0.13	, the state of the	**** !	
1	i nianx	11 K			# 100 10100 10100 10100	VIII 18	, <u>(1814 - 1</u>	K. 03	(t	\$11.05 \$11.05	12.51	11 0 k
		<u>.</u>	× UV		1 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	\$R zq	ÇX RN	£6 11	tu ti	64 8 81	22 54.3	\$ 40 80
1	orth Dakuta		¥ 1, ¥		H 100	(,)	£ 1)	¥1.67	£0 î.	10 4.3	(، ۲۰ وا	ديدها
1	:		=		5 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	58 (1)	v166	, .	, the state of the	¥\$4.05	11	נונו
				oc .	61 5 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4		\$1: KI	\$1 9 74	8.0 7.0	51.84	تنده	11 43
The contract contra					8 9 7 2 5 3 3 3	راءَي	<u>- 110 ±</u> 3	1.5 0.3	(n 17		(1)	យុវម
	s.p.ingi.en	urban adeurkan razal	e		H 4 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	ξι' η ς	<u>۲۳ ال</u> ا	(P. 17	36.93	2294	1,9,5	62 63
(2) 13 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	Xulu	- (: - + -			7	×	7 C R C	10 vp	נוי גוו	Z. C.	648.43	99 -
		11. L	• •		\$40.0F\$	13 KJ	30.63	40 ck	E E	18, 67	Tts 12-	(17.4?

Source Company Financial exports, and regulatory filings including tariffs, mare connection agreements and ARMIS reports, CCM estimates

1	
Ī	14
1	

(240 TV FO F od (4440 m 424)	4410811XF9 (diaman 224) 587	74/14/44 744) [20/7	Sne ynid iten∕ 15di£) 15q} biogznaiT (dinom	bas gaidstive (sdit) vsq) trogenset (dinom	erq) 4 10 (draom	13य) । १४६ (तीतव्या	OTHER PART HINC TOP TOP TOP TOP TOP TOP TOP TOP TOP TOP	Table 3 of Charles of	gartsarigera Inca I DAIHTH NP CIOTA reqt	ाक्षायल का ∓त्ती} 1 के क्षे 1 क्ष⊖्र	4001 eag) 417.8 (dinom	418
•			to 4 de sommer A. sobrata	(1) t esimies & estimism ambigues (4) ?	23 m 123 / 23 m 124 / 141	ttt formurer. gattengite retenia						
101'1	91 95		8TV3-011	\$1.15 F.15	17.07	96.05	6.0100.07	(11) (11)	4×1 (3)	<u>```````</u>		
									90K, 410 41)	XV v	. ξ. ξ. 5 (6. 5. ξ. 	a bazi
1116	<u> </u>	- Λ α Π.Σ		41.13		11.117	61.0000.07	<u> </u>	01310007	<u> </u>	12 (1)	alikita
											10.5	
	<u> </u>	րը ոչ	8012		<u> دو د د</u>		- Franko Az		<u> </u>	<u></u>	- 1	
						-					(6.015 0.115	
001.	<u> </u>	9U 9 3			<u> </u>	00.07	<u> </u>	670-33 AZ	<u>6.0100 px</u>	<u> </u>	: 1:5 <u>41:915</u>	
<u></u>	00 07	<u> </u>			<u> </u>						27 27 5	
				44.14	5 L US	(13. 03.	<u>, 01 (00 BX</u>	<u> </u>	<u>0,8100 g5</u>		11 - 15 18 85 	Fynsagu
181		· _ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							•		f. i.\$	
1 W 1 '	29.1				_1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	71 h 7	<u>&v.uuuu</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0 1.5 NO 1.5	*****
											t iis Un tis	
		00.03		الخوا ـــ	41.47	24.02	7-1900 D 7	680.00.00	<u> </u>	_دند_	10 0.5 	*1.**
											1.845	
_1.0'	43.05	00.05		<u> </u>	35.03	11.05	**************************************	701 00 07	XOLLOG BZ	<u> </u>	34 47 5 	******
4184	N I U I	00 03	25.13		21112	<u> </u>					11 945 01 045	<u></u>
				16.15		01.02	<u> </u>	11.90.03		-44 3	(6 (c) 81 (1) 61 (.)	<u> </u>
mi.	<u> </u>	99 \$		99.13	11.05	<u>01 05</u>	341100 BZ	<u> </u>	07.1 100 67	<u> 4 2-</u>	11 955	4.7
											0 () () 5 () ()	
_100'4	<u>61_W\$</u>	00 05	41.13	18.15	4-45	<u> </u>	337100 hZ	1.517.68.02	©alraa 6 ≯	<u></u>	1015	*ioa*u di
	NV 44										23 125 15 8:5	
	0U D \	94.13		96.6	71.US	81 US	<u> </u>	กษองจก สี2	70 00000	C0 05	.i .i . <u>11.14.</u>	
171	<u></u>	הא הל	77.17		<u>11 115</u>	61.03	<u> </u>	nh* nq n2	70-100 07	11.3 11.3 11.05	. 6.5	00134147
											31 S	
											41 . 5	
<u> </u>	ــــر ولاينة	<u> </u>	414	<u> </u>	21.07	<u> 61 U3</u>	<u> </u>	! 78 nn n7	<u> </u>	17.77 -	40 r. 5	Jujun
											16 45 \$	
											#6 01 \$ £1 01 \$	

30 (15) 30 (15) 19 (15) 50 (15) 50 (15) 50 (15) 50 (15) 50 (15)	\$1.515 \$0.715 \$1.715 \$2,715 \$2,715	50.05 50.05 50.05		00.13 00.13 20.53 SIVIOTAIN C. ESTORIO JULIONA	05.85 52.95 52.95	15 (2) 1, 45 91 075 60 85 10 85	20]	9,93	Print Sections Organia Ave proc perint Sove proc perint S	d salah ang da garang
30 x 13 50 x 13	90 S Ly 01 + 13	5 (03 (0 <u>03</u>	10.05 10.05	6d (\$	px 63	1. #5 910'5 06 #5 1 #5 1 #5 01 1.5 02 1.5 09 1.5 1.5 63		461.	Pint Sections of Join Aver pince periods of Join Marchines periods of Join Option	e u a p
48 3 1 3	90 S Ly 01 + 13	5 (03 (0 <u>03</u>	01: 05 110:05	6d (\$	px 63	5 : 85 -1 85 -1 95 -1 185 -1 1		461.	Pint Sections of Join Aver pince periods of Join Marchines periods of Join Option	******
ds (1)	ND S LY	y ; 0 \$	01.05	oti + \$	p. 3.3	1			Ear bin maineles main	
ds (1)	ND S LY	y ; 0 \$	01.05	oti + \$	p. 3.3	5. 85 21 05 21 07 85 21 85 21 85 20 85			Pint Sepandes organics See bew Estimates organics organics	
ds (1)	ND S LY					3 : #5 	<u> ∕un l</u>	6.95	orbing architectus Ase bisa artenta actualas Sana	nkgida
						3 - 85 -1 85 -41 018 	/au]	6.95	gse btw arrom aed arrdas 's tru	negida
						8. 85 .1 85	/=U	p.a.s	्रमात प्रदर्भ साचित्र स्टब्स्	negiti
<u> 16 t \$</u>	<u> </u>	65.0\$	*U V 4	0		8 . HS			asitudos 's im	
<u> </u>	NESTS	65.0\$	\$4.03	(1:)					, F 1111	
<u>io r1\$_</u>	51.518	65.05	\$9.05	(1.1)						01
					U× / \$	10 c \$	٠.,	401 1:	and him	
						.6.1			and melox	
			911.1	710.	1, 11)	كالكرورة والأشار المترجون الأنتا	.,1	Chy .		1001
	11.5. S	u . s	100 \$	\$1.82 X	. 115	96 W \$			Big trigles	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		······································		<u> </u>	96.9.3	"	······································	k to l	1144 2012 14
A1 2, 2	н, этъ	×0 05	80 OS	1 .3	98	144		, 4 M 1	ave him	at n t ol i
						41.45			1	
									1	
10.017	1×11)	80 U\$	20 05	.00'}	1:11	<u></u>	· <u>·</u> 1	(de)	d or Fall	кри
									or of History Vicinia	
						- C 994				Half minles willing
76. D. 1.3	1110.3		34 IS	9.53	~ ~ ~	7.11 x Y		-J -		- PRESTR
						er y			res, ir	
00 LT	51 0, 1	51.33	91 1 5	97.5	51.55	"11)		1.51	dar pre	+41
									the m	
						* E E i \$			E 1811	
	61.3.3	46.03	22.05	20.3	0.5	7 1 X	1,0	43. 4	4 × 10 ×	12 no
						1 913			tex ince c	
						1.615			10.07 (1)	
<u> </u>	17.51.9	91 \$		FO 4/2	-1 1/3		- 1	<u> </u>		* un a qu
						5.00			1146410	
						5 C 9 C \$			Pris	
<u></u>	1.3	11 13	1(1)	×6 √ S	91 95	: : 5	1,81	811 01	day pin	
						\$ 1 c \$			a grant	
						A N OK			ga ef litelu? - Aiui	
	10 503 00 603 00 603	10.55 15.55	10 50 15 50 10 15 10 50 15 10 50 10 15 10 50 15 10 50 10 15 10 50 15 10 5 10	10 505 15 50 91 15 72 05 15 72 05 72	10 50 15 50 10 15 21 15 10 15 21 15 10 15 21 21 15 21	10 50 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	97.15	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	10 1 10 10 10 10 10 10	1

DRIS CONTINUE STRUCK STRUCK AND THE RESERVOIS AND THE FORMAL STRUCK STRUCK SHOWS AND THE STRUCK STRUCK SHOWS AND THE STRUCK STRUCK SHOWS AND THE STRUCK SHOW

Source. Company Financial reports end regulators things including facility infaction agreements and ARMIS reports. CCMI estimates

14235

Source Company MAMA has surangeneed contributing to the stands and AllMA has suran surangeness. (X) strongeness and surangeness contributions and surangeness of the summer of the summe

593.3 11...3

591.3

0.4158	1178 04 1178				MINE STANDER (PER SWITCHISC (PER PERSONAL (PER MINE SWITCH MINE SWITCH MIN SWITCH MINE SWITCH MINE SWITCH MINE SWITCH MINE SWITCH MINE SWI	(4) (4) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1	(युन्धः) सु	hau प्रकांतिभी गर्दे रज्ती है। रज्यो Fraqense († (वी ज्ञाल	has zaidəsi sə rədifl əsq) linqəsisi (dianm	(1841) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4)	GRORITZE Glisom regi DAN	(उपभू वर्ष (धृद्वतम्बः १२व) अन्तेषः (४ (४)
						114 22 minzzz Aniismiyira 20 kunim	25.000.22 12.40 25.00.01	1111 esameret erlaman gairkaigles	P. [t] 25mu224 23Panint			•
			रा स्मि	Stad				11.15	SIVION			
7 0 7 \$ 65 4 \$	## 10% 7.07% #5.55 #5.6%	10.5%	eв	F.4	стыны п ў	CE 03	5 c 19 5	*t :\$	50.0%	មូល មន្ត្	80 o\$	£4£*1
ST 84 4 9 9 5 5 L 8 5		86.0	овојнио о х		/ i 9000 a\$	£0.05	tu oz	£N 03	17.05	00 0 2	10.03	1707
LE NS 2 D NS 9 C O LS		15.1			Ktsono us	r. 0 3	<u>81 a</u> \$	(1.0)	N5 05	00 trx	7005	! K Pa
ini s 16× 5 10/5	2.62 \$ 163 \$ 102 \$	19.55	ल शामात व र	9, 3 000 05	pastona us	.E 0 \$	/ <u>(0)</u>	1115	96.03	<u> </u>	LL DY	/60 .
06.015 06.015	06 HT\$	<u> </u>	#17.1mm.is2	6. c m 68	(27100.03	11,05		2/11	46.1 \	00.45		BUS .
	06.07	XX 113	0917 008 d \$	10 OF 180	176000 03	94 0 3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	~ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	*** · · •		••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
eries Kriks	#12.61% 2.11.5 81.85	w	and the second	nvr:	Phillips (A	ua u s	1217-1-1	t : 1 5	de cos	Dh on	ងូ ០ ខ ុ	tin
\$9.05 (c.f.\$	\$1.995 \$2.4.5 \$2.4.5 \$2.005	ε ν Σ	015100 0 7	n , 9 , 00 N \$	Roesnot os	00.03	он u ş	.1971	15.0	<u>00</u> 0 3	30 D	987
ስቴ ክር \$ የሃ ር . \$ ሃዝ ር . \$	18 672 18 672 18 672	lv . y	062[au u \	ook (ma v š	9, 5000 55	va 1 5	.0.15	\$to 16	et as	UD 41\$	L. 05	out.
1935 98 (15	7 98 1 12 98 1 12 14 1 1 2	12.5	anolio os	40 m. 400	953400344	90 (\$	16.05	yr oy	51 U S	uti (1)	F/ by	<u> </u>
1	1#415 Pants P4815 Units	101.15	es, entre	n1.0003	OFFORD US	a0 0 5	00.6%	£9.0 5	95 U Ş	90 U S	2 (- 0)\$	9614
\$! \$ / . 5 : \$	1515	<u>×</u>	85,,00.05	650,0000 \	Mitmod 65	90.15	66.65	69.03	59.05	00.05	11.01	EDF (

COMMERCY CAPITAL MARKETS, INC

aonio unvioni	11114 11114 11114	TERMINVERMO OBICINVERMO VAR TELL LARE,	(qanoni rad) MAHI O TIV	ragi Hillith lig (African		(4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4)		\$4811 \$\$1333 [\$10139%	22.4.1.37 (2000) 2.4.81.1	SPSOV	1 1
eres eres	f(l ramurif	andenizatin deld enumers. Imbenizatin	id (f) samure A ratumim	113 1 22 16 4274 2 milentaera 23 tomim	tā 44 zomanzzā. Potunisti	itt komusses estenem göreknigten					
81	(V LO)			ST	STOTHUS		Endakt CON				
	\$	19 E . \$	2 f 16 5	#U 05	ct 5 5	50 a 5	N1 913	* <u>-</u> .	024		NANAN NANAN
							56.815			l .	
į.	>.1	बुग संदर्भ	81.05	<u> </u>	90 01 5	16 (13	11 1 1 5 1 5 7 5 86 7 1 5	*,18 (0X> I:	l dov	el)sendan
	1.1	<u>((> ()</u>	81.05	91.03	£0.9 \$	ic (3	01 815 10 0.5 .1 915		<u></u>	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	9 ti Az q en a l l
	<u> </u>	airi 13	±0 05	<u> </u>	07.78	11 43	61 : 13 90 8 : \$ 10 91\$ 76 : 13	21.1	No.1	1	7 544 (
	W	¥6.71 5	day eve	do as	0.1	Up to	5 5 1 \$. E . I \$. E \$	•		1 1 d x y.	
ta i	6.1	11.00%	LO 1/A	10.05	11.83	95.55	61 1 5 563 1 5		. 119	<u>3.x</u>	hustrl a
<u>^0</u>	<u>1, Y</u>	41 (7	7 10 10 7	: 6 (1)	15.01\$	14 - 13	\$1.51 \$ \$1.61 \$ \$1.51 \$		<u> </u>	<u></u>	140
							53 HY 53 HY 54 JY			t :	
	915	\$7.00\$	00 n s	on oy	70.95	1665	x 1115		610.1		STINVITY 114H
. 11	0.5	28 10 5	94 (1)	69.03	611 8%	89.65	1 (145 70 (145 80 (145		1 E9	3.55	
51.9	91 1	515	>, 0)	9 c 0 5	00-11 5	31315	6 - 15 - 9 - 145 - 9 - 9 5	- 6	0.1	3 11	Şue
							101 315 20 5 5 3 7 5 5			1	
	515	51713	10.03	61 0 \$	55.5 5	15:3	40.20 46.1. 40.1.	*.9.	010.	411	(46.13)
1.6	615	05/07/8	.10\$	0, 05	Sisk	65.77	5 c 0 1 5 1 8 5 1 5 c n 0 1 5	*,41	601 2	d v	gian (16)
							110 ; 15 00 1 15			F 1	
<u> </u>		981.3	. 5 45	15.0\$	18.68	95.65	5, 915 5, 115	<u>, 111</u>	09; 1	d v v	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
. 41	1:3	<u>(5.51\$</u>	71.03	11.05	<u> </u>	28 01 \$	05 6. \$ 51 91\$	<u></u>	411.1	y K	einigité
							PP 115 10 715 NE FIS			है इ	

ogus 2mgO	fine guidati m2 3-idect	HANTO HANDALIM AND SECTION OF A	proteingtro la so 3 - Electric	
	$\omega/(44)\chi \sim \sqrt{4} V V (3)$	S. STATE TO STATE AND A STATE OF THE STATE O		

)	MZ GASHAORA (dhom saga	gijidom tada INO ERBIRA 44	Anidən az rəditə rəqrinoqueri i buc rdinoqu	fine guidatiee2 sodiCt vogstrogaus ((dinom	rado 471 4 Adino m	्या १ । त स्योगल्या	AOD PRAZZEORE (M) OTHER OTHER	Smikuminitkio f AZBETTAR ADICTAR	gothengue le so 1 5210-511 <i>M</i> z + 2017-1391	13O3 131731 (dinom	11584001 11584001	777
			ranner Venner DEA	1113 somnes? solunim gailenigno	PAG camarek z dawenii							
			\$174011	18			<u></u>	NI.	11/1/1/14			
(1)	11.05	lio o\$	£9.1 5	94 e4	£0 10 5	10.04	6c : 100 d 3	lvasvijina 15	na ទវ ១០០ ប រ	te 0\$	21 213 12 113 12 113 81 213	
<u>)/ k' t</u>	<u> </u>	111.194	541\$	41.4	ξα 0 ξ	Fa 0 \$	03 \$ £00 0 \$	<u>(†5100 01</u>	<u> </u>	an 3	10 0 c \$	rijornij jes
00,2	10.03	B.; 11 5	86 P\$	07.15	St 0\$	Et 0 1	i s k 000 H\$	Fank on mi	rau\000 ar	12.10\$	00 \$ \ \\$ 1 9 91 \$ 2 6 14 \$ 01 14 \$	andogmeli Ared
099 v	00 0 1	ci 0\$	80 mg	0, 1 <u>\$</u> 	EH 0\$	<u>10 0\$</u> 90 0\$	845000 mg	. 61100 03 111100 03	\$51 (MO 18)		15 51\$ 15 51\$ 15 16\$ 04 4\$ 64 11\$	Parkel V
6011 .	60.64	ra u <u>\$</u>	11.13	. 1(1)	10101	311.0\$	855 10 2 D\$; mi) (a. 10 5	5001 00 05 85 1 100 05	£0.4 \$	11 613 13 613 13 513 61 113	juat
							·				1,1 kg 28 tc C 3.	91177 IIV 1148
116 <u> </u>	95 H\$	90 0 \$	رر ۱۱ ۱ که ۱۱ ۱	8, 01 86 01	u (0 1 110 0 1	110 5	00.000 a\$	Color III	TEATHDAS THOUGHD O≸	15.13	50 515 50 515 50 515 50 515	ole #
Şij.	c, 11 3	91.15	z 0 \$	t 5 0 4	10.05	sn 0 3	अधिका अ	926g (no 2 \$	mKr aa sa r	in (\$	21 8 (\$ 20 5 ; \$ 30 6 ; \$ 4 6 1 \$ 24 6 1 \$	[rue] (
241	00 115	00 91	<u> स ॥६ </u>	12.05	£0.05	81 D\$	F-44000 02	\$12100.0\$	1	06 1 \$ ta 0 \$	18:18 10:01\$ 65:6\$,3:88 55:6\$	çər (ə). K)H∉ (] (>
67 1	11.16\$	an ((\$	s c 9 1	8 t 11 \$	10.0%	5-3 E 1	CPLONG BY	\$19100 O \$	coxi tro niş		2014 0014 25.414	*iù
<u> </u>	00 a\$ 98.113	60 0 \$ 100 0 \$	97 0 \$	92.0\$	<u>(1.0\$</u> (0.0\$	±1.0\$ 60.0\$	4551000 0 5	62000 0\$	\$ (11 09 0\$	0) 1 \$	85 # 5 \$ 01 6 \$ 5 # 91 \$ 1 # 41 \$ 94 # 1 \$	*OREGIA
											10 cc\$ 60 11\$	

RESEARCH DIRECTORY

Harris and the first the first of the second



William Sutherland, Director of Research	(215) 282-4019
Michael Viola	(215) 282-3874
Retail: Specialty & Electronics	
Richard A. Zimmerman	(215) 282-4017
Ryan S. Zeichner	(215) 282-8016
Specialty Chemicals & Materials	
Christopher M. Crooks, CFA	(215) 282-4018
Dawn G. Moehn	(215) 282-4014
Telecommunications & Broadband Services	
Anna Maria Kovacs, Ph.D., CFA	(617) 576-5764
Kristin L. Burns, Ph.D.	(617) 576-5764
Gregory S. Vitale	(617) 576-5764
Telecommunications Equipment, Connectware &	& Network Security
William R. Becklean, CFA	(617) 576-5850
Michael Kern	(617) 576-5848
Guojia Zhang	(215) 282-8020
Administration	
azabeth-Anne DeStefano	(215) 282-3877
Institutional Sales & Trading	
	(866) 519 6400

Goldman Sachs

SBC Communications, Inc. (SBC)Meeting with SBC CFO highlights key initiatives; no estimate or ratings change.

11:0	5 AM – August 22, 2007
Mark	et Outperformer
Large	e-Cap Value
Price	US\$28.11
Unite	ed States

Stock data		Price performance	1M	3M	12M
52-week range Yield	US\$47.34-23.30 3.8%	Absolute Rel to S&P 500	5% - 7%	-19% -7%	-35% -17%
Capitalization		Forecasts/valuation	2002E		2003E
Market cap	US\$93.5bn	EPS*	US\$2 30	ı L	15\$2.30
Latest net debt/(cash)		GSCOPE EPS*	_		-
Free float	_	GSCOPE P/E	=		-
Shares outstanding	3325mn	* May differ from US GAAP			

SBC considers fixing the UNE-P mess, as a prime corporate objective. Delayed LD entry in key locations, combined with the lowest UNE-P rates in the country, have uniquely exposed SBC to profit-eroding share loss. Despite this, SBC's CFO Randall Stephenson still sees stable cash flows through aggressive cost cutting, combined with the ability to maintain trends in share repurchases and dividend hikes. Consolidation in wireless is another key objective of SBC. Acknowledging the proliferation of conversations among wireless carriers, Stephenson indicated all talks are still preliminary. In the meantime Cingular is raising prices, sacrificing sub growth, and looking to improve profits.

Full details

WHAT TO DO WITH THE STOCK? We continue our cautious view of telecom, although recent stock price declines make us somewhat less cautious.

Within the group the Bells and rural teleos should provide the best returns. And, within the Bells, we continue to view Verizon as the best choice right now. As management indicates, share loss to UNE-P is going to be quite damaging to SBC. And we believe it will suffer the greatest consequences of this phenomenon among the three Bells. Thus, the valuation premium that SBC trades at relative to Verizon on P/E. EV EBITDA, and dividend yield is probably not sustainable over the next six months. We continue to use our current EPS estimates of \$2.30 for this year and next.

UNE—P. A BIG PROBLEM WITHOUT LD. SBC has been the most vocal critic of UNE—P, and is working hard to raise prices and diminish the negative effect. In the absence of pervasive long distance approval UNE—P has been and will continue to be very damaging to SBC. With LD approval in the Ameritech region not likely until the middle or second half of '03, and California not likely until yearend '02 SBC stands quite exposed at the moment. However, we should not extrapolate the SBC experience uniformly to the other RBOCs. No others face the unique combination of low priced UNE—P, high residential rates (in the Ameritech region), big concentrated industrial states, and no LD capability. Thus, we don't see Verizon in particular, and BellSouth to a lesser degree has having the same degree of exposure. So, yes, if an ILEC loses a customer to UNE—P it's a big hit to the bottom line—but it has to lose the customer for the hit to be taken. And in our view VZ and BLS are likely to be able to offset this materially better than SBC over the next year. It should be noted that SBC has been enjoying these same benefits share retention in its states where it has long distance approval. SBC intends to file cost studies in key jurisdictions using the regulatory path as one attempt at raising rates. In addition, it continues to try to use bundling as aggressively as possible to offset share loss.

WIRELESS CONSOLIDATION A KEY OBJECTIVE. Newspaper reports have

Frank J. Governali, CFA frank.governali@gs.com Portland. 1–207–772–3300

Jason Armstrong, CFA
jason.armstrong@gs.com
Portland, 1~207-772~3391

Goldman Sachs Global Equity Research FOR IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT GOLDMAN SACHS' RATING SYSTEM AND OTHER DISCLOSURES, REFER TO THE END OF THIS MATERIAL, GO TO http://www.gs.com/research/hedge.html, OR CONTACT YOUR INVESTMENT REPRESENTATIVE.

exaggerated the speed of wireless consolidation and the progress that has been made to date. However, the desirability of getting a deal done is obvious, and the company acknowledged active conversations. Mr. Stephenson noted that of the two options for deals AWE presents less dilution but greater regulatory and integration hurdles. Voicestream presents higher dilution but far easier regulatory approval and integration. Furthermore, similar to press accounts, he indicated a deal for Voicestream may be impractical without taking in DT as an equity participant (i.e. no all—cash deal.) And importantly, SBC is open to that possibility.

WIRELESS PRICE HIKES DESPITE SLOWER SUB GROWTH. The healing effects of wireless mergers are not nearly upon us yet. In the meantime. Cingular is taking steps to 'heal thyself.' The price hikes are geared to boost profitability, even as it sacrifices sub growth. The particular increase in national plan rates announced this week are geared to both reduce off—network roaming costs and slow down the consumption of TDMA network capacity. SBC and BLS are fully expecting their joint venture to experience low to no sub growth as a result of these actions as well as the customer churn that will be stimulated by the WorldCom reseller shift.

CAPEX TO REVENUE SHOULD BE NO HIGHER THAN 15%. AND WILL BE LOWER ABSENT GROWTH. There is a broad effort to cut capex in both wireline and wireless operations. In wireline, Stephenson indicated that current thinking is that capex to sales should be no higher than 15% and that in the current environment it should be no higher than 13%, and yet it is. Thus, further capex cuts should occur. In our view, if demand recovery continues to falter it would not be surprising to see capex to sales fall below the 13% rate, as it has in other countries. On the wireless side, capex cuts are also anticipated. In our view, slower capex spending in wireless is further supported by the prospects of industry consolidation.

COST REDUCTIONS KEY TO MAINTAINING EARNINGS AND BOOSTING MARGINS—SBC sees the margin differential between it and VZ and BLS as indicating an opportunity for further cost cutting. Pointing to opportunities in consolidating call centers, raising efficiencies in network operations, and generally trimming overhead costs. Stephenson is focused and confident in using these steps to help improve margins in the face of share loss.

CALIFORNIA DSL EXPERIENCE GIVES CONFIDENCE IN LONG TERM POTENTIAL. In California, SBC is enjoying the benefits of scale DSL operations, having achieved about 10% penetration so far. As a result, operations are already EBITDA positive and on the trajectory to reach SBC's targeted hurdle rate.

The steps that got California to scale include: an effective self—installation program, low help desk costs: effective churn control (down towards 2–3°) and effective marketing against the cable operators. SBC believes that mass market deployment of DSI will occur, and that tiered offerings are one step in getting there. This will allow fower monthly prices for lower speeds but should be able to maintain an average monthly price of approximately S40. This is a little higher than our long term estimate, but directionally our models look at the market in the same way. Due to the absence of long distance approval in California and the Ameritech states. SBC will continue to try to bundle DSL as a way of offsetting share loss, indicating that churn falls 75% for those customers taking DSL on top of their local service.

BLS: US\$ 25.99, SBC: US\$ 28.11, VZ: US\$ 32.35

The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. and/or its affiliates make a market or are the specialist in the following companies' securities: BellSouth Corp., and Verizon Communications. As such, the market maker or specialist may have an inventory position, either "long" or "short," in the relevant security and may be on the opposite side of orders executed on the relevant exchange.

The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. and/or its affiliates have received during the past 12 months compensation for investment banking services from the following companies, their parents, or their wholly owned or majority—owned subsidiaries: BellSouth Corp., SBC Communications, Inc., and Verizon Communications.

The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. and/or its affiliates expect to receive or intend to seek compensation for investment banking services in the next 3 months from these companies, their parents, or wholly owned or majority—owned subsidiaries: BellSouth Corp., SBC Communications, Inc., and Verizon Communications.

(C) 2002 The Goldman Sachs Group Inc. As rights reserved

The Goldman Sachs Group inclins a full-service, integrated investment banking, investment management, and brokerage firm. We are a leading underwriter of securities and a leading participant in virtually all trading markets. We have investment banking and other business relationships with a substantial percentage of the companies covered by our investment Research Department. Our research professionals provide important input into our investment banking and other business selection processes. Investors should assume that The Goldman Sachs Group, inclined and in part pased on the profitability of The Goldman Sachs Group, inclined in preparing this material may participate in the solicitation of such business. Our research professionals are paid in part pased on the profitability of The Goldman Sachs Group, incl, which includes earnings from the firm's investment banking and other business. The Goldman Sachs Group, inc. generally prohibits its analysts, persons reporting to analysts, and members of their households from maintaining a financial interest in the securities or futures of any companies that the analysts cover. Additionally, The Goldman Sachs Group inclined generally prohibits its analysts, or members of their households from serving as an officer, director, or advisory board member of any companies that the analysts cover. Our salespecific traders, and other professionals may provide oral or written market commentary or trading strategies to our coents that reflect opinions that are contrary to the opinions expressed herein, and our proprietary trading and investing number of any give rise to real or botential conflicts of interest. Additionally, other important information regarding our relationships with the company or companies that are the subject of this material is provided herein.

Inisimaterial should not be construed as an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy any security in any sursidizing where such an offer or solicitation would be illegal. We are not solicitation pased on this material it is for the general information of clients of The Coldman Sachs Croup line it does not take into account the particular investment objectives if inancial situations, or needs of individual clients. Before acting on any advice or recommendation in it is material clients should consider whether it is suitable for their particular circumstances and, if necessary, seek professional advice. Certain transactions in clients involving futures, options, and other derivatives as well as non-investment-grade securities in give rise to substantial risk and are not suitable for all investors. The material is accurate or complete, and it should not be relied on as such. Opinions expressed are our current opinions as of the date appearing on this material only. We endeavor to update on a reasonable basis the information discussed in this material out regulatory, compliance of other reasons may prevent us from doing so. We and our afficies, directors, and employees, including persons involved in the preparation or issuance of this material may from time to time have "long" or "short" positions in, and puly or set the securities or derivatives (including options) thereof of companies mentioned herein. No part of this material may be lift copied, photocopied, or dup. Ceted in any form by any means or (ii) redistributed without The Goldman Sachs Group line is prior written consent.

In a material has been issued by The Coldman Sachs Group, Inc. and/or one of its affinates and has been approved by Goldman Sachs International, which is regulated by The Financial Services Authority in connection with its distribution in the United Kingdom and by Goldman Sachs Canada in connection with its distribution in Canada This material is distributed in the united States by Goldman, Sachs & Collin Hong Kong by Goldman Sachs (Asia) L.C. In Korea by Goldman Sachs (Asia) L.C., Seoul Branch, in Japan by Goldman Sachs (Asia) L.C., Seoul Branch, in Seoul Branch, in Japan by Goldman Sachs (Asia) L.C., Seoul Branch, in Japan by Goldman Sachs (Asia) L.C., Seoul Branch, in Japan by Goldman Sachs (Asia) L.C., Seoul Branch, in Japan by Goldman Sachs (Asia) L.C., Seoul Branch, in Japan by Goldman Sachs (Asia) L.C., Seoul Branch, in Japan by Goldman Sachs (Asia) L.C., Seoul Branch, in Japan by Goldman Sachs (Asia) L.C., Seoul

Eurther information on any of the securities mentioned in this material may be obtained on request, and for this purpose, persons in Italy should contact Goldman Sachs S.I.M.
5 p.A. in Milan or its London branch office at 133 Fleet Street; persons in Hong Kong should contact Goldman Sachs (Asia) L.L.C. at 2 Queen's Road Central; and persons in Australia should contact Goldman Sachs Australia Pty Limited. Unless governing law permits otherwise, you must contact a Goldman Sachs entity in your home jur soliction if you want to use our services in effecting a transaction in the securities mentioned in this materia.

Analyst coverage and other disclosure information is available at http://www.gs.com/research/hedge.htm-

09:22am EDT 22-Aug-02 Legg Mason (Levin, Blair(202)778-1595) Q T VZ BLS SBC Q.N Bells Retrain Guns on UNE-P, but Quick Kill Unlikely part 1

Legg Mason Wood Walker, Inc.

Industry Update August 22, 2002 Blair Levin blevin@leggmason.com (202) 778-1595 Daniel Zito dezito@leggmason.com (410) 454-4333

Bells Retrain Guns on UNE-P, but Quick Kill Unlikely

All relevant disclosures appear on the last page of this report.

KEY POINTS:

- We believe the debate at the FCC over the future of UNE-P has surpassed the broadband debate in intensity and near-term importance for the telecom sector, as the Bells have been thrown on the defensive due to line losses to rivals.
- We believe that the Bells (SBC, BLS, VZ, Q) will have a difficult time convincing regulators to quickly eliminate the rights of local competitors to lease out Bell networks (UNE-P) at deep discounts. This is problematic for all the Bells but, in our view, is particularly problematic for SBC as its lack of long-distance progress in the Ameritech region makes it more vulnerable to UNE-P competitors. The Bells could gain some immediate relief in business markets (as well as some relief toward deregulating their broadband offerings in separate proceedings), but we doubt the FCC will eliminate UNE-P in residential markets in the near term.
- We believe the Commission is likely to establish a sunset or triggers for phasing out UNE-P. While the details of such rules are far from settled, we think the result will give key UNE-P providers, WorldCom (WCOEQ) and AT&T (T), time to continue to change the facts on the ground. The more they win new local customers, the more they increase the potential for a backlash if the phase-out dismantles the main platform for residential competition.
 Even if the FCC scraps or pares back UNE-P, many state regulators would
- likely try to retain it. Also, all decisions would be subject to court challenge that could take years to resolve, with the courts likely to maintain the legal status quo in the meantime.
- * While the Bells will not gain immediate regulatory relief, we believe that through bundling and other marketing efforts, they can significantly reduce the negative impact of UNE-P competition.
- * We believe another potential nightmare for the Bells would be if cable begins using UNE-P to accelerate its budding cable telephony offerings.

As we noted when WorldCom announced its "Neighborhood" plan, the intensified efforts by WorldCom (WCOEQ) and AT&T (T) to compete using the Bell Unbundled Network Elements Platform (UNE-P) has dramatically raised the stakes of the FCC unbundling policy debates. (See our April 23 note WCOM/MCI Bundled Phone Offer Challenges Rivals and Regulators.) The most recent Bell quarterly reports suggest that the impact of UNE-P is quickly growing. (For a discussion of the economics of UNE-P, see the report by our colleagues Daniel Zito and Brad Wilson, Cautious Long-Distance Outlook, June 27, 2002. For a state-by-state UNE pricing and sensitivity study, see attachment to VZ: Comments on RBOC Weakness, August 21, 2002, by our collegues Michael J. Balhoff and Christopher. C. King.)
The impact of UNE-P has caused the Regional Bell Operating Companies

(SBC, BLS, Q, VZ) to shift their priorities in seeking regulatory relief.

While the core Bell policy thrust had been to gain deregulation of their broadband services, recent events suggest the Bells have ramped up their lobbying efforts to cripple the ability of competitors to use UNE-P to gain market share in the traditional voice market.

Some in the Bell camp have predicted the FCC will act to eliminate UNE-P in a flash cut. FCC action on UNE-P is still months away (probably 4-8 months) but our current view is that prediction is likely to prove largely inaccurate in the near term, particularly concerning the availability of UNE-P in residential markets. This note outlines some of the dynamics affecting the resolution of the UNE-P debate.

Background on UNE-P. UNE-P offers competitors an opportunity to use all the UNEs at discounted "TELRIC" (Total Element Long Run Incremental Cost) rates and to add further value-added services on top of the platform. According to an industry estimate building on a FCC survey of incumbent local exchange carriers (ILECs), of the 20-plus million lines won by long-distance companies (IXCs) and other local competitors (CLECs) as of June 2002, about 7.7 million are UNE-P based. It is the fastest growing method of competitive entry. In 2001, according to FCC data, more than 60% of the CLEC line growth was due to UNE-P, about twice the rate in 2000. T and WCOEQ are capturing most of the UNE-P line growth but other companies are responsible for about 43% of UNE-P lines.

Reasons for Increase in UNE-P Competition. While UNE-P has been available for some time, its use has ramped up significantly over the last year. In our view, this is due to two critical developments. First, numerous states have lowered wholesale UNE-P rates. Second, the Bells have achieved sufficient long-distance entry to give the IXCs the incentive to more aggressively use UNE-P to protect their existing markets.

Differing Impact on the Bells. UNE-P has had a differing impact on each of

Differing Impact on the Bells. UNE-P has had a differing Impact on each of the Bells, affecting SBC and BLS more negatively in the last quarter than VZ. The reason for this difference, in our view, is that VZ's relative lead in gaining long-distance entry (with 74% of its lines already eligible) has given it the ability to bundle local and long distance in more states, providing a stronger defense against competition. As a measure of the value of long distance offerings in combating UNE-P competition, we note that SBC estimates that where it offers long distance, it doubles its winback rates. We also think that VZ's intensified strategy of bundling their landline voice services with wireless and Internet access services will provide an even stronger defense against UNE-P competitors.

We surmise that BLS will have greater success in stemming the tide of UNE-P line loss once it gains the right to offer long distance services in more states. It currently has applications pending in 5 of the remaining 7 states where it cannot offer such services. An FCC decision on these 5 is due in mid-September and we believe the prospects for approval are good. In light of UNE-P competition, SBC's problems in advancing its Sec. 271 long-distance applications become more important to SBC's financial picture. This is particularly true in the Ameritech region and California. SBC has a large window of vulnerability in the Ameritech region where state regulators have been aggressive in providing incentives for UNE-P competition, but SBC has not made significant progress with the testing and verification required for Sec. 271 approval. In California, SBC has better prospects, as it hopes to send the FCC its long-distance application in September. Given the TELRIC price cuts just announced by the state PUC and California's size, we expect a major push by T to sign up customers before SBC gets approval to offer long distance services.

Q has some vulnerability to UNE-P, due to its lack of long-distance approval, but we expect Q to gain approval to offer long distance services in a number of states in the next several months. While Q's states are not the highest priority states for the UNE-P based competitors, we note that UNE-P competition has attracted more than 5% market share in Iowa, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wyoming.